

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/539,884	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
DIMPLE N. BODAWALA	1791	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After final

(1) Dimple Bodawala. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Armstrong (Applicant's representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 July 2010

**Time:** 11:00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1, 9, 19, 21*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/D. N. B./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims cite limitation of "a vertical tensile strength from between 20 Kgf/cm<sup>2</sup> to at least 50 Kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>", wherein term "at least" makes the scope of the subject matter indefinite and furthermore, instant disclosure fails to provide antecedent basis for this limitation within the disclosure, therefore, Applicant's representative had been advised to amend the claim as disclosed in Examiners Amendment..